

~~SECRET~~

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS

OF 2 PAGES. NO. 3

OF 9 COPIES. SERIES 1

400890

- 2234

15 1952

635

Brig. Gen. K. E. Fields, USA, Director of
Military Application, Washington, DC

George P. Kraker, Deputy Manager, SFCC

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
GEORGE P. KRAKER

FUTURE TESTS AT PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS RESTRICTED DATA
AS DEFINED IN THE NATIONAL SECURITY ACT OF
1947. IT IS UNAUTHORIZED TO DISCLOSE
OR REVEAL THIS DATA.
UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS F

CRM

DD

SYMBOL: T-6

ATTENTION: Lt. Col. W. R. Sturges, Jr.

Reference is made to the meeting in your office on April 12 when you and Potter Campbell of your office and Milton Fox of this office talked with Colonel Sackson of JTF 132 regarding plans for future tests at the Pacific Proving Grounds. Colonel Sackson's desire for such information was related to the task force's interest in controlling release of military personnel so as to have experienced personnel available for recall to future test operations, and to avoid the expensive process of "demodification" of present aircraft and surface craft and subsequent "modification" of other craft for future operations if it is possible for them to maintain operational or availability control of craft which can be reused in future tests.

Transmitted herewith is a copy of our letter to Dr. Bradbury of LNSL, dated April 25, and a copy of his reply, dated May 8. The information contained in the reply should provide some information for the Task Force in their planning, even though Dr. Bradbury's estimates are subject to considerable change. It can be assumed that, with planning as indicated, drop aircraft and related support will not be a requirement for the fall 1953 tests. Otherwise, it would appear that assistance from the Department of Defense of approximately the same type and magnitude as exists for Ivy will be requested.

This letter from Dr. Bradbury is the first indication to us of planning by LNSL for a possible third or fourth shot in the fall 1953 series (Cartie). Estimated costs as they appear in the first supplement to FY 1954 Budget and as they will be submitted with the FY 1954 budget are based on an operation equal to Ivy, or two shots. This basis for budgeting is being called to the attention of LNSL, and we will ask them to immediately review their plans for FY 1953 test operation and, if appropriate, to provide us with more criteria

WITHOUT ENCLOSURES/ATTACHMENTS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	DETERMINATION [CIRCLE NUMBER(S)]
1ST REVIEWED DATE: <u>4-16-54</u>	1 CLASSIFICATION RETAINED
AUTHORITY: DAOC (MAG) CDR	2 CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
NAME: <u>L. M. McNEIL</u>	3 CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
2ND REVIEWED DATE: <u>4-22-54</u>	4 COORDINATE WITH:
AUTHORITY: AD	5 CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
NAME: <u>DR. BRADBURY</u>	6 CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~
This document contains Restricted data
as defined in the National Energy Act of
1954. Unauthorized disclosure subject to
Administrative and Criminal Sanctions.

~~SECRET~~

~~CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
PER DOB REVIEW APR 1973~~

5005800

(1)

3393

~~SECRET~~

Brig. Gen. K. E. Fields

-2-

MAY 15 1952

for revision of estimates, both for scientific structures and logistical operations, and to furnish us adequate support for submittal of revised estimates. Recognizing that procurement of any additional funds for FY 1953 operations may be extremely difficult, we will try to maintain close contact with plans as they develop and keep you informed.

Enclosures:

1. Cy ltr T-6, Apr 25 to LASL
2. Cy LASL reply, May 8,
Cy 1E/3

CC: Dr. N.C. Bradbury, Dir., LASL

Greenhalgh, Budget, SF00, w/encls

Cy 2B/3 Encl 2

Rex, OTO, SF00, w/encls

Cy 3B/3 Encl 2

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
PER DOC REVIEW JAN 1975

3394

5005801