


File = 8-12
Access # A-91-048
LANL
JF-3069 (D)

~~SECRET~~

M+R(J), LASL

HEADQUARTERS
TASK GROUP 7.1
Joint Task Force SEVEN
APO 187 (HOW), Postmaster
San Francisco, California



400416

I/1991

14 January 1954

Classification changed to Unclassified by deletion
Authority of the U.S.D.O.E.

SUBJECT: AIRCRAFT POSITIONING MEETING

Per M. Paukrab, TSM, FSS-16, 6/9/54
(Sig. of person authorizing change, title, org., date)

TO: Distribution List

M. Paukrab, TSM, FSS-16, 6/9/54
(Sig. of second reviewer, title, organization, date)

1. A meeting was convened at Los Alamos on 21 December 1953 to discuss safe positions of aircraft during detonations of Operation CASTLE. Present at the meeting were:

PUBLICLY RELEASABLE
OS-6 M. Paukrab 6/9/54

- | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Lt. Col. T. J. Andrews | Mr. Joe Kelly |
| Mr. E. E. Bissel, Jr. | Col. D. V. Miller |
| Lt. Col. J. Crosby | Dr. W. E. Ogle |
| Mr. C. B. Cunningham | Lt. Col. D. I. Prickett |
| Dr. H. Hoerlin | Mr. D. Seacord |
| Mr. F. Janek | Dr. William Thaler |
| Maj. D. R. Jones | Mr. H. A. Zwener |

2. The first order of business was the determination of all aircraft to be airborne at zero times. These aircraft are:

- | | |
|-------|--------------------------|
| B-36 | Effects |
| B-47 | Effects |
| RB-36 | Control and Photographic |
| C-54 | Photographic |
| C-47 | VHF Relay |
| P4Y-2 | Telemetry |
| P2V-5 | Drone Ship Control |
| WB-29 | Early Sampler |
| SA-16 | Air-sea Rescue |
| B-50 | IBDA Techniques |
| F-84 | Samplers |



The positions of these aircraft, as discussed in this paper, are based upon a yield of ~~1000~~ ~~1000~~ ~~1000~~ ~~1000~~ MT.

3. In view of the information available on the P4Y-2, this aircraft was considered first. It is painted all white, with exception of identification markings; it has all metal control surfaces and is equipped with thermal radiation resistant curtains for the crew. A recommendation was made by Mr. Kelly to paint over the identification markings if one wanted to position as close as possible. It was proposed by Dr. Thaler that this aircraft fly for one hour prior to detonations at an altitude of

935

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF 4 PAGES

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

5004760

This document contains restricted data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1946 its transmittal or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

JF-3069

SUBJECT: AIRCRAFT POSITIONING MEETING

14 January 1954

5,000 - 10,000 feet and at a radius of thirty-two (32) statute miles from zero. At H/30 (30) seconds, it will turn out-bound and be at a range of forty (40) statute miles at the time the blast wave passes the aircraft. According to Mr. Zwemer, who states that AFA is taking the best information available on the P4Y-2, the distance that, broadside, one hundred percent design limit load (DDL) would be reached from a ~~XXXXXX~~ MT weapon is twenty-four (24) statute miles. At 14.2 miles, one would expect an aluminum skin temperature rise of 300°F, which is estimated to be a safe limit. It was anticipated that for ~~XXXXXX~~ MT, a rise in aircraft skin temperature of 150°F would be experienced at thirty miles. The equation used for heat input was Q/sec

$132.5 \frac{(KT)^{.94}}{D^2 eKD}$ where Q is in BTU/ft² and K=.008/kiloft. The

flight pattern at thirty-two (32) statute miles from zero was agreed to by Lt. Col. Crosby as being satisfactory from the TG 7.4 standpoint.

4. At this point, Dr. Ogle stated that he thought the following information should be made available to the positioning committee. It is possible that the CASTLE devices may go better than the probable upper limits that have been published. A reliable authority has stated that the devices may possibly have an upper limit of the following yields:

<u>DEVICE</u>	<u>YIELD (MT)</u>
---------------	-------------------

Dr. Ogle suggested positioning for ~~XXXXXX~~ based upon ~~XXXXXX~~ MT. If ~~XXXXXX~~ goes as expected, around ~~XXXXXX~~ MT, then positioning on subsequent detonations could be made on the published maximum probable yields and not on those shown above. Mr. Janek stated he would have prepared an alternate plan to be used on ~~XXXXXX~~ dependant upon the results of ~~XXXXXX~~

5. The point was brought out that a crew unprotected from thermal radiation can be the most limiting factor for close positioning. For large yield weapons, eight to nine calories/cm²/sec is about maximum tolerance for human skin. This, of course, would be much too high in the case of ~~XXXXXX~~. Heat resistant curtains for the crews were recommended if close positioning was desired.

5004761

II.
~~SECRET~~

936

~~SECRET~~

SUBJECT: AIRCRAFT POSITIONING MEETING

14 January 1954

6. The P2V of Project 6.4 was considered next. This aircraft is navy blue with fabric control surfaces, a condition that is extremely poor for positioning aircraft close to nuclear detonations. The value of two (2) cal/cm² was considered safe for this aircraft, although this might be too stringent for large yield weapons with a pulse as long as twenty-four (24) seconds. If the project officer desires to be closer than fifty statute miles to the detonation, it will be necessary to have the control surfaces recovered, painted white, and treated for heat resistance. If this is accomplished, a range of thirty-five (35) statute miles would be considered. One would expect about four (4) cal/cm²/sec at thirty-three (33) statute miles from a ten (10) ft device. In any case, thirty (30) statute miles would be the inside limit of this aircraft if it were prepared as the P4Y-2 is.

7. The C-47, to be used as a VHF relay, was positioned at a range of at least one hundred (100) statute miles. Colonel Crosby saw no reason for it to be any closer than this.

8. The C-54 photographic aircraft were positioned at no closer than sixty (60) statute miles at altitudes of 10,000 to 15,000 feet. On IVY they were positioned at fifty (50) statute miles based upon a MT possible yield.

9. The EB-36 control and photographic aircraft was positioned at no closer than sixty-nine (69) statute miles.

10. The WB-29 sampler aircraft was positioned at no closer than one hundred (100) statute miles for the same reason as the C-47.

11. The SA-16 was tentatively positioned at seventy-eighty (70-80) statute miles. Little was known about this aircraft. Mr. Kelly will check on this range for the SA-16.

12. The B-57 was not considered because it will probably not be in the program. Colonel Miller suggested that specifications of the aircraft be taken to the forward area in case a B-57 is phased in late in the operation.

13. The F-84 samplers, at 35,000 feet were positioned at no closer than seventy (70) statute miles.

14. The B-50's from Project 6.1 desire positions at 35,000 feet of one (1) tail aspect at fifteen (15) miles range, two (2) broadside at twenty (20) miles range, and three (3) broadside at twenty-five (25) miles range. These positions will be considered after appropriate calculations have been made by members of the positioning committee. Dr. Ogle expressed concern that the positions seem unduly close, but would withhold any decision on their safe positions until further information is avail-

5004762

III.

~~SECRET~~

937

able.

15. The project officer for the effects B-36 desires to position it, for a _____ MT yield, at a range of 60,000 feet for an altitude of 40,000 feet. It is to be positioned on thermal criteria. They anticipate a rise in aircraft skin temperature of 400°F at this position. Mr. Kelly stated that a rise in temperature of 450°F would probably be alright, but that a rise of 500°F may cause some trouble. Dr. Hoerlin expressed concern about reflection of the fireball from the top of the haze layer. He thought this top to be, normally, between 1,500 and 5,000 feet. Dr. Ogle suggested that Dr. Hoerlin make some calculation on the rise in temperature of the aircraft skin using values of absorptivity, and other factors available from representatives of the committee. He also suggested that a hand held camera be used to photograph zero point for the first few seconds after detonation to determine, roughly, cloud cover or other obscurations.

16. The closest permissible positions of both the B-36 and B-47 were not decided upon. Mr. Janek stated he would send the positioning brochure on those two aircraft to Dr. Ogle as soon as possible so that it can be studied. A determination of these positions will be made in the forward area.

17. After the meeting, Colonel Prickett brought up the following items which will be discussed when the committee meets in the forward area:

(a) An F4-U will act as alternate control aircraft for Project 6.4 if the P2-V aborts. This aircraft must be given a minimum safe position.

(b) Project personnel desire that the B-47 fly over zero point on its pattern to arrive at its position at zero time.

David V. Miller

DAVID V. MILLER
(J-3) ENIWETOK ATOLL

DVM/1s
DISTRIBUTION:

Copies

- | | | | |
|------|---------------------|----|----------------|
| 1 | - CJTF-7 (Coward) | 15 | - (Janek) |
| 2 | - CJTF-7 (Hubbard) | 16 | - (Thaler) |
| 3 | - CTG 7.1 (Ogle) | 17 | - (Kelly) |
| 4-5 | - TG 7.3 (Clark) | 18 | - (Seacord) |
| 6-7 | - CTG 7.4 (Crosby) | 19 | - (Miller) |
| 8 | - CTU-9 (Gaylord) | 20 | - J-3 |
| 9-12 | - CTU-13 (Gilbert) | 21 | - M&R (J) File |
| 13 | - CTU-13 (Prickett) | 22 | - M&R (J) Seq |
| 14 | - (Hoerlin) | 23 | - M&R LASL |
| | | 24 | - M&R (J) LASL |

5004763

IV.

938